PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P00038801-P0	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2005/010927	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 June 2005 (15.06.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 June 2004 (17.06.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	n edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUS	TRIAL CO., LTD.		

1.	This international preliminary rep International Searching Authority	ort on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2.	In the attached sheets any refere	of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. nce to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference export on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications r	elating to the following items:
1	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
<u> </u>		
		Date of issuance of this report 20 December 2006 (20.12.2006)

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt07@wipo.int

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO

34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below P00038801-P0 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 17.06.2004 15.06.2005 PCT/JP2005/010927 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/010927

Box	c No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With	h regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was it, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
		, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under	ļ
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	ļ
2.	Wit inve	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	ŀ
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	Ì
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		in written format	Ì
		in computer readable form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	1.
		contained in the international application as filed.	1
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	١
1		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	Ì
			1
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
			١
4.	Ac	dditional comments:	
			١
1			
1		•	١
			1
١		\cdot	ļ
1			
1			
1			
		·	

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/010927

Box	Reasoned statemer citations and expla	t under Ru nations sup	te 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			-
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-29	YES
		Claims		NO
!	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-29	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-29	YES
		Claims		· NO
l	·			<u> </u>

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: WO 2003/047175 A (MILLENNIAL NET, INC.), 05 June 2003,

page 39, line 19 to page 41, line 7; Fig. 9 & JP 2005-510956 A

Document 2: JP 2005-151525 A (Sony Corp.), 09 June 2005, Par. Nos. 0183 to

0232; Figs. 7, 9 to 11

Document 3: Eimitsu KANZAKI, "Ad Hoc Network ni okeru Network Topology

no Henka ni Ojita TDMA Slot Wariate Shuho ni Tsuite",

Information Processing Society of Japan Kenkyu Hokoku, 2004-DPS-116-8, 29 January 2004, Vol. 2004, No. 9, pages 43 to 48

Document 1 describes shifting a time slot when a terminal detects a collision at a specific time slot.

Although published after the priority date of the international application, document 2 describes that in case of beacon collision, a beacon transmission position is changed through reporting, via an ALERT field of a beacon frame, of a change in beacon transmission position of an own communication station.

Document 3 describes that upon detection of terminal collision, an anomaly detection reporting packet is transmitted so that the terminal causing the collision receives this packet and transmits own assignment information after a random wait time.

None of documents 1 to 3 cited in the ISR describes the feature of the inventions of claims 1-29, to the effect that when a frame extracted from a received beacon is a frame reporting a collision with a beacon of another network, a collision report frame for relaying the collision report is generated, and an instruction is issued for transmitting the collision report frame at a beacon transmission timing.

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/010927

No. VI				
Certain pu	blished documents (Rule 43bis.1 and			marian day et marant
	Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim (day/month/year)
JP	2005-151525 A	09.06.2005	24.06.2004	24.10.2003
[P	, A]	•		
		•		
		•	. *	
			·	
Non-wri	tten disclosures (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.9	······································		
Non-wri	tten disclosures (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.5	•		ate of written disclosure
Non-wri	tten disclosures (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 70.9 Kind of non-written disclosure	Date of non-written (day/month/y	disclosure referri	ate of written disclosure ing to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
Non-wri		Date of non-written	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure
Non-wri		Date of non-written (day/ <i>month/</i> y	disclosure referri	ng to non-written disclosure

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/010927

Βοχ Νο. ΥΠΙ

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 18 is not sufficiently supported by the description. Specifically, the description does not recite that the frame judgment unit judges whether or not an extracted frame is a frame requiring data transmission/reception. Herein, paragraph 0093 merely describes that the frame judgment unit 202 judges a frame type and, when the received frame is not a beacon frame, upper layer processing is performed in the upper layer processing unit 204.